



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/098,366	06/17/1998	NOBUYA HIGASHIYAMA	13237-2150	4032	
27488	7590 04/18/2005		EXAMINER		
MICROSOFT CORPORATION			BASHORE, WILLIAM L		
C/O MERCHANT & GOULD, L.L.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			2176		
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/098,366	HIGASHIYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
William L. Bashore	2176		

Betore the Filling of all Appear price	Examiner	Ait Oille					
	William L. Bashore	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The maried for confusions months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ver in later. In no				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the linal rejection, whichever is later. If the period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lated that SOX (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have stensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 cross-conditions are consistent with the feet of the appropriate extension fee under 37 cross-conditions are consistent with the feet of the appropriate extension feet under 37 cross-conditions are consistent with the feet of the appropriate extension feet under 37 cross-conditions are consistent with the feet of the appropriate extension feet under 37 cross-conditions are consistent with the feet of t						
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened si above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the rec-	e final Office action: or (2) as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension inereor to containing	//. to divoid distribution	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	n, but prior to the date of filing a brid	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	Decause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further c	consideration and/or search (see No	JIE Delow),	İ				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet (See 37 CFR 1	.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1	.121. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendme	nt (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	(s):	a timely filed amend	ment canceling				
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is purposed.	a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ rovided below or appended.	will be entered and a	n explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-6, 9-11, 13-15, 18-25, 27-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
ACCIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	but before or on the date of filing a and sufficient reasons why the affic	Notice of Appeal will lavit or other evidenc	I <u>not</u> be entered e is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
	·						



--†∖. Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's added limitations regarding collecting context information via examining alignment, etc... significantly changes the scope of the claimed invention when interpreted as a whole, therefore requiring said limitations would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are substantially based upon new issues as presented above.

SANJIV SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER